

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MARTHA WANGARI,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTEGRATED LIVING SERVICES,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C12-1066 RAJ

ORDER

This matter comes before the court on plaintiff Martha Wangari's third application for court-appointed counsel. Dkt. # 34. On August 24, 2012, in response to plaintiff's first motion to appoint counsel, the court referred plaintiff's motion to the pro bono screening committee. Dkt. # 10. The screening committee recommended appointment of counsel, but the court was unable to locate counsel who would agree to represent plaintiff throughout the entirety of her case. However, the court believed that the interest of justice would be served if pro bono counsel was appointed for the limited purpose of conducting early ADR procedure, and appointed counsel for that purpose. Dkt. ## 11-12. Mediation was ultimately unsuccessful, and the court terminated pro bono counsel's representation. Dkt. # 23.

1 On June 5, 2013, the court denied plaintiff's second request for pro bono counsel  
2 because the court was unable to locate counsel to represent plaintiff for the entirety of her  
3 case, and early ADR proceedings with representation of counsel was unsuccessful. Dkt.  
4 # 29. The court makes the same finding on Ms. Wangari's third request.

5 Since plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court refers plaintiff to the court's website  
6 that includes, among other things, a *pro se* guide. See  
7 <http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/pro-se>. Also available on the court's website is a link to  
8 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this District's Local Rules. See  
9 <http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/local-rules-and-orders>.

10 For all the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's third application for court-appointed  
11 counsel is DENIED.

12 Dated this 30th day of December, 2013.

13  
14   
15

16 The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
17 United States District Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27